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 **Jonathan Aulson** started transcription

 **Sowells, Amy** 0:03  
So most of them should be fairly consistent and reflect this this the drop down options. But then they do also have the option I believe to like add. I don't know Catherine, can they free form text?

 **VanDerzee, Catherine** 0:17  
No, no, they shouldn't be able to. It should all just be right from the drop down. And I think there's an other bucket if something isn't in there for them that they would just put, but this should cover, yeah.

 **Sowells, Amy** 0:17  
St.  
OK.  
Got it.  
Yes, I wonder, I wonder Jonathan, how others like random one where where those are coming from. Like can you pull up an example of a site that has just like a obscure job code?

 **Jonathan Aulson** 0:42  
Let's see. Yeah, so I have the list of job profiles here from 2025 in the database and so we're seeing things like shift diff to fraud adjustment.

 **Sowells, Amy** 0:57  
And that's for site what go back to cause I that's the budget I have open site 0170 and are there actually hours there in the budget too?

 **Jonathan Aulson** 1:08  
Take a look.

 **Sowells, Amy** 1:09  
'Cause maybe a lot of these are just blank 'cause yeah, I don't even see shift if two in the.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 1:13  
You know, that's a good point. Let me add a clause to this.  
Let's see. Oh, that's the wrong table.

 **Sowells, Amy** 1:28  
Because it's interesting. I know you're just pulling the 2025 budget, but I wonder if there's like legacy ones in there or something from prior years that aren't used in the new in the 2025 budget.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 1:44  
Balanced description.  
OK, So what I added here was PR hours, which is what we were told to use any entry we we're we're summing the balance column for any entry that.  
Where where the balance description is PR hours. Let me add balance to the mix and then we'll see that.

 **Sowells, Amy** 2:31  
OK.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 2:32  
Along here.

 **Sowells, Amy** 2:36  
And IT might be, yeah, looking at just looking at the this particular budget, there is there's a section where shift if is coded, but that always relates to GSA.  
So, Catherine, correct me if I'm wrong, right? Is that? Yeah.

 **VanDerzee, Catherine** 2:51  
Yeah, it's just that top section. No, you're you're right. The only other way that maybe, I mean maybe one of like the RFDs or RFMs could have like edited the budget file and like typed in what they wanted instead of selecting from that drop down. But I feel like that rarely happened. That's like the only.  
Scenario I can think where it would be anything outside of what we're seeing and then yeah, the shift diff shown in like that top GSA section.

 **Sowells, Amy** 3:14  
Uh-huh.  
So yeah, I think we for the most part, Jonathan, like.  
If you send this to me, I I don't think it's going to be too complicated because I think 99% of these are going to match one of those positions in the drop down and then the shift if like I said is always valet.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 3:39  
Awesome. OK.  
Oh, OK, gotcha. Shift, shift. So if it has a if it if the job profile says shift diff, that means a valet position. Is that right?

 **Sowells, Amy** 3:52  
Co correct.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 3:54  
OK, got it. OK, that probably does clean up quite a bit of this. OK.

 **Boyer, Jim** 3:58  
What, John, what do we feel like? I mean, I know we got to do what we got to do with the data we have available, but like, do we feel like this could lead to like a lot of like broken, like chasing ghosts and stuff like that and the system of stuff doesn't line up right?

 **Jonathan Aulson** 4:00  
Um.  
Well, I think where our concerns are are if job codes are added or or changed. That's where I think we have some risk assume.

 **Boyer, Jim** 4:25  
Or a job codes added that's not budgeted like that's where I'm like wondering like where this is gonna go sideways.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 4:29  
Yeah, yeah, I think because because you know, our system isn't source of truth for job codes and we're not really plugged into that process of like adding, you know, a new job code being added or not.

 **Boyer, Jim** 4:42  
Right.  
Yeah.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 4:48  
That that's where I see the issues. If they get edited or added, I think, I think it'll it'll, you know, we'll have to make a change right to account for it so.

 **Boyer, Jim** 5:01  
Well, I think the the ad would be on the town park side is that a budget would be a need to be amended right to be able to accommodate that change.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 5:09  
Yeah.

 **Sowells, Amy** 5:11  
But that doesn't happen, right? The budget's not gonna change, so.

 **Boyer, Jim** 5:11  
Or we would just have, no, it doesn't. I mean it. Well, you would only amend the budget if it was like you were splitting a position into two different ones, right? It obviously like if we add the position that was never budgeted that would, that would be OK, right?  
Because we we didn't intend to have the the the job, right? So.

 **Sowells, Amy** 5:29  
Right, right.  
Exactly.

 **Boyer, Jim** 5:35  
Yeah, it's just, I I don't know, but I also feel like, you know, we got, well, essentially free tax, even though it's not quite completely right. It's just I just, I could think of all the ways this would just go wrong in like creating confusion for people.  
But we have what we have and we'll have to make use of it.

 **Sowells, Amy** 5:53  
Yeah, we have what we have. And I do think, you know, maybe if it's if later after the pilot, we think it's better just to remove budget altogether if it's causing confusion. I mean, I guess that's an option I I would think.  
Even if it's, you know, a little bit, well and I was still. So if a job, if a job code name changes, is that the example you gave, Jim? Wouldn't IT still, even if the name changed, we'd still tag it?  
To a certain position or a certain group, and that group should still be compared to the correct budget, right?

 **Boyer, Jim** 6:32  
Yeah. Well, let me give you like a practical exercise, right, Like a. So obviously like Brian has been working on, you know, a a plan to sort of like stream streamline things, right. And we have too many job codes and we know at some point in the future that we're going to.

 **Sowells, Amy** 6:43  
Yeah.

 **Boyer, Jim** 6:49  
You know, consolidate them, right? So what happens when all these like permutations of the GSA role get consolidated into GSA, right? It's like we're going to have real jobs that are GSA. So in the forecast system, you're going to have like an abundance of GSA hours, but in reality.  
The budget's not coalesced into one major code like that, you know what I mean? So it's like, I that's like a very real thing that I can see going wrong in that. Well, not necessarily going wrong, but being like data, that's like, oh crap, that's an unintended consequence of that, right?

 **Sowells, Amy** 7:14  
Yeah.  
Yeah, yeah, that's that's a good point. I mean, I guess for non for labor hours IT would still work out 'cause that would roll up to the valet group, both of those.

 **Boyer, Jim** 7:26  
So.  
Probably.

 **Sowells, Amy** 7:36  
But for the per labor hours where they're needing to budget at a job could level, that could be weird, yes.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 7:44  
We we do have a little bit of visibility into it for you guys in the job group management section. If a new code shows up that the system hasn't seen before, if it shows up in work day that is.  
Then it'll be it'll be you'll you'll find it here in the job code section and it'll have this new flagged on it. So at that point you can kind of decide what to do with it, I think.  
If a job code were like changed, that would show up as a new code to the system and it might cause some issues like well I guess is that even a scenario? Like do you guys, would you guys change a an existing job code or you would just add a new one right?

 **Sowells, Amy** 8:32  
Add a new one. Yeah, yeah.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 8:34  
Yeah, OK. So then that scenario, like adding a new one is mostly handled. I think where it could get a little weird is like like you guys were saying the budget wouldn't reflect that. So you would add in this job, you'd put it in a group that would let let it show up in the.  
Forecasting side, but it wouldn't have any budget data associated.

 **Sowells, Amy** 8:58  
Yep.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 8:58  
That would be the only kind of wrinkle, yeah.

 **Sowells, Amy** 9:00  
Which I think is OK.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 9:02  
Yeah, OK.  
Well, and if we rebuild budgeting, we can, we can close that loophole.

 **Sowells, Amy** 9:09  
Exactly. Yep.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 9:11  
Yeah, all right. Well, gosh, this sounds a lot easier than I was fearing. Catherine, you're kind of our hero if you're able to to get this done for us. Should I send? So this is this has the the budget data tab stuff from the job profiles.

 **Foy, Michael** 9:20  
OK.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 9:30  
And what we really need is, let's see, we need.  
Uh.

 **Sowells, Amy** 9:39  
I guess you need me to relate column B to a job code in column E, right? Right.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 9:46  
Yes. And let me think about that is.  
Um.  
Every yes, every unique job profile needs to be mapped to a job code. Yep. So you got it.  
Um.

 **Sowells, Amy** 10:05  
So I'll just, I'm just gonna do a pivot off of B, consolidate all the ones that are like duplicate. I'm sure so many are duplicates and then.  
Yep, that's fine. I don't think it'll take too long. So yeah, Jonathan, I should be able to do this early next week because you said you just need it at some point next week, right?

 **Jonathan Aulson** 10:15  
Yeah, yeah.  
OK.  
Yes, yeah, yeah.

 **Sowells, Amy** 10:27  
OK. And then, yeah, Catherine, if I have any issues, I'll come to you. But I think this, this is a lot more simple than we initially thought.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 10:36  
Yeah.  
Well, great. OK. Well, that got us through really my agenda for today. I let me think wanted to mention we have a an issue with the custom.  
Custom domain that we picked for forecasting. So if we go to.  
Town forecast.  
We're getting this 403 and there it's just an issue with DNS for this URL and Cesar has submitted a a help item to get it addressed, but I'm about to escalate that and I just wanted to make you guys aware.  
Just so you kind of knew where that was coming from, I think. I think maybe the help team needs assistance getting access to the DNS settings. So that's that's why that one was stuck.

 **Sowells, Amy** 11:32  
OK.  
OK.

 **Boyer, Jim** 11:43  
They know how to make. They know how to make sub domains. I don't understand why they can't do that.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 11:48  
Cesar, I don't know if you have more detail on that, Sir, but I I know that I think, I think you were working with Victor on it, was that right?

 **Cesar Figueroa** 11:56  
Work with Vincent. Yeah, it looks like the signin record in the ECDS was created, but there is a setting in the private endpoint. The private endpoint is what makes us available.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 11:59  
Vincent.

 **Cesar Figueroa** 12:15  
To only get in with the VPN and there is where where the other setting is missing.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 12:22  
Um.  
OK. So I'll, yeah, I'll, I'll just, I'll copy you guys on that that note and but we'll we have plenty of time to get it addressed. I think I just wanted to kind of highlight it.

 **Boyer, Jim** 12:25  
Hmm.

 **Cesar Figueroa** 12:38  
Yeah, I don't have access to that, but I took on a screenshot. If I can share, probably I can show you what I mean with that. So it's in here.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 12:38  
But.

 **Cesar Figueroa** 12:52  
So in custom DNS records they only have DNS for power build and doesn't have anything related with town forecast and this is the private endpoint connection that is attached to the static web app. So what basically is happening is it's trying to resolve town park.

 **Boyer, Jim** 12:57  
No.

 **Cesar Figueroa** 13:11  
Um, using public traffic and not the private endpoint settings and that's why it's it's showing on the.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 13:21  
Cool. Well, thank you, Cedar. Yep. Other than that, I think I know we have the steering committee meeting next week. Is there. So one item I I plan on kind of presenting there is the.

 **Cesar Figueroa** 13:22  
So, yeah.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 13:38  
Road map that I have for our features and let me let me show you what I mean by that.  
So this is our feature list for the project. Everything in Gray we've completed. We've got one item still in progress and then these items that are to do, these are all non user facing.

 **Boyer, Jim** 13:53  
OK.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 14:04  
Non-functional features and so we're saving kind of that last Sprint to work on these and then we've we've over the course of the last several weeks we've we've pushed these features out to like.  
You know, sometime after pilot is kind of the idea. Oh, sorry guys, you couldn't under you couldn't convolve that. Sorry. So yes, as I was saying, all of these guys are complete in Gray.

 **Boyer, Jim** 14:23  
Are you sharing? Are you sharing something, John?

 **Sowells, Amy** 14:24  
Yeah, I can't.

 **Boyer, Jim** 14:28  
I was like these features, wasn't it?

 **Jonathan Aulson** 14:38  
We've got one feature from the original list that we're still working on. There's a set here that are all kind of non-functional requirements, and then we have the phase two items here that are either.  
You know, we could be working on these during pilot, during rollout, we could, we could, we could have a separate SOW for phase two where we work on these. In my mind these are just kind of TBD, but it.  
The I guess the idea is you know we're we're gonna have this stuff ready for pilot and in addition to this the features in the Sprint backlogs Amy that are like.  
You know, like the, well, the kind of usability enhancements we've been working on lately. Yeah, so that's.

 **Sowells, Amy** 15:29  
Yep.

 **Boyer, Jim** 15:30  
Yes.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 15:33  
Sorry, was that was I missed it. Was there a question?

 **Sowells, Amy** 15:36  
No, no.

 **Boyer, Jim** 15:36  
I was, but I was waiting till. I was waiting till you finish.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 15:38  
Oh yeah, that's basically it. I I think we we I wanted to give Mike a view of like because I I think there was a question last steering committee of you know kind of which which features are we delaying and I wanted to I wanted to get some clarity for that.

 **Boyer, Jim** 15:55  
Um.

 **Sowells, Amy** 15:56  
Yeah, I think that's good. I think that's important.

 **Boyer, Jim** 15:58  
John, what about I don't see on here and maybe this only takes US up to the beginning of pilot, but where slated in this is the Internal Revenue table as well as I did not bring this up when I talked to you guys earlier in the week, but.  
There should be probably like some form of like allocations table for like expenses that aren't user inputted into the solution like such as insurance as example.

 **Sowells, Amy** 16:22  
So.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 16:28  
So we may have to walk through that with you, Jim. I'm not sure. Honestly, I'm not sure I'm following yet. So what would these tables do in the forecasting system?

 **Boyer, Jim** 16:41  
Well, Internal Revenue, we talked about earlier this week and you guys said you were building during pilot, right of the conversion of external and internal.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 16:48  
Oh, you're talking. Yes, the calculated forecast. Sorry. Yes, I'm with you now. Yep.

 **Boyer, Jim** 16:51  
Yeah, OK. And then and then the other table that we did not talk about, 'cause I didn't think about IT until I got my hands on some of the data was the other expense detail table is, you know, it's pretty usable. We just have to map the columns to like main account entries, so it's not like a major issue.  
But not every expense is represented in that other expense table. So for example, insurance, right? So I talked to Amy and what I took away from that conversation was the data and the other expense tables are going to be user input from the field in the UI, but there are other expenses that exist that need to be represented.  
Somewhere and I'm not sure where I'd go pick them up from, such as like an insurance allocation or whatnot. I don't know, Amy, if you want to clean that up a little bit.

 **Sowells, Amy** 17:35  
Yeah, he's Jonathan. He's referring to the the tab and the forecast that we have those controllable expense categories that we identified OPS can update, right. But then we have that bucket of all the other expenses.

 **Boyer, Jim** 17:36  
Yes.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 17:49  
Yep.

 **Sowells, Amy** 17:52  
That then make up the total and that bucket that can't be manipulated would really just the forecast would always equal budget because the OPS an AM can't change it in any way. So I think we're going to have to map that somehow in the background the.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 18:04  
Gotcha.

 **Sowells, Amy** 18:10  
Budgeted expense accounts that aren't being manipulated by OPS need to then make their way into the forecast table, if that makes sense.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 18:11  
Yeah.  
I think I'm following. I would say though that that column you're talking about, we are literally displaying data that is in EDW. So I don't know if it makes sense for us to like pull that data into our system, hold it in a column only to return it to EDW.

 **Boyer, Jim** 18:27  
1.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 18:36  
You know what I mean to to put into another table. So Jim, it may be that we just need to help map that piece of it to to existing tables, yeah.

 **Boyer, Jim** 18:45  
Yeah, well, I mean that's fine. I think, I think what we kind of laid out right is like and I don't mean to speak for the engineering team, but like they're they're somewhat hesitant to get started on actually like coding until like all the pieces like are in place, right, instead of kind of doing IT piece meal.  
So I think that we really won't have like actual data until pilot and we won't have the Internal Revenue anyway until pilot, right? So they're kind of hesitant to get started on much coding before that, but IT doesn't mean we can't use the time between now and then.  
To like make sure that like all the items that we need to complete a forecast like in the data right is sort of sourced from either it's relevant dataverse table or in the case of this allocation perhaps EDW itself, right. But we just want to what we don't want to do is like get to pilot and go oh crap we forgot like a nugget.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 19:31  
Yeah.

 **Boyer, Jim** 19:38  
Information, where are we getting that? And then it's it's something we require you to do and we didn't tell you about it, right. So I just want to make sure we're not like because like the Internal Revenue is like a great example. It's like, I'm glad you guys were thinking about it, but you know, we could have not mentioned IT and then gotten to September going, oh crap, we don't have Internal Revenue. How are we going to do this, right?

 **Jonathan Aulson** 19:39  
Yes.  
Yeah.

 **Boyer, Jim** 19:59  
Um, so.

 **Sowells, Amy** 19:59  
Is there any sense for how long that's gonna take our data team to?  
To finish that once they start.

 **Boyer, Jim** 20:07  
Well, I I'll just, you know, I'm in the trust circle, so it should take them 30 days to do it and two weeks of QA and then we'd be QAing pieces of it as we go, right. So I would say like six weeks, which is the entirety of the pilot, right. Because I think John, if I heard you correctly, we weren't, we weren't going live with this until November.  
And they're running the pilot in parallel to the existing process. So there's not a business need to produce results until November. Is that accurate?

 **Jonathan Aulson** 20:35  
That's my understanding, yeah.

 **Boyer, Jim** 20:36  
Yeah. So if we, if we're doing pilot in September, we have time, right? I'm not, I'm not, I don't think it's gonna be a time crunch. What the only thing that gives me pause, Amy, is they are very much fixated on dynamic pricing as we all know and.

 **Sowells, Amy** 20:49  
Yeah.

 **Boyer, Jim** 20:51  
Like a great example of this is like Juan is going to be in Miami for several days, like next week, right? So get it like for me on other topics not related to this project, right? I'm like, I'm waiting in line for Juan to get back, right? So it's like at some point somebody's going to have to give the team direction and go look.

 **Sowells, Amy** 21:05  
Yeah.

 **Boyer, Jim** 21:10  
I understand everything's important all at once, but this one's got to move to the top of the list for the foreseeable future at some point, right?

 **Sowells, Amy** 21:17  
Should we put that on our, I know Jonathan, I don't know if you're planning to present like the the risk, that risk worksheet that showed like the ones that have been complete. Is this something we should call out for the steering committee?

 **Jonathan Aulson** 21:23  
Yeah.  
Yeah, absolutely. I I I think so. And and I think the let me see if we can kind of articulate IT because to Jim's point, I don't see IT as like a timeline risk right now. It's maybe like a scope risk where.

 **Sowells, Amy** 21:31  
OK.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 21:44  
Our concern is that that, you know, competing resources maybe are are are competing. Yeah, competing agendas. I don't know. I'll I'll wordsmith it. But yeah, yeah.

 **Sowells, Amy** 21:51  
Right.

 **Boyer, Jim** 21:52  
Yes.  
Well, yeah, I would not say agenda. You'll have to worksmith us, you don't step in it. But but because like at at no point am I trying to throw them under the bus, right? They're being told what they're being told to do right based on the priorities in front of them right now. So like I'm not, you know, I'm not trying to toss them under the bus, but but at some point.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 22:04  
Sure.

 **Boyer, Jim** 22:11  
This has got to be made like #1 priority for them and you know, dynamic pricing at least, albeit for a short term, is going to have to take a back seat because they only have so many people, so it's.

 **Sowells, Amy** 22:22  
Right.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 22:23  
Well, maybe we can take a strategy where we kind of keep them engaged in planning. Cesar and Chris, I'm thinking about, you know, we're we're gonna need to architect the calculated forecast tables and process.  
Maybe we can kind of engage with Juan as we do that so that we we hold attention, you know what I mean? Does that make sense, guys?

 **Cesar Figueroa** 22:50  
Yeah, we can. We can try to create some sort of draft structure for them and then they can create the tables, maybe empty just to start establishing pipeline or something, I don't know.

 **Sowells, Amy** 22:51  
Yep.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 22:56  
Yeah.  
Yeah, OK.

 **Boyer, Jim** 23:04  
Well, I think, well, Cesar, let me look at it like a little bit different way. We know what we need the final output to be, right. So like over the next like 3 weeks or so, we are going to like map out here's the data that we need from.

 **Cesar Figueroa** 23:05  
Yes.

 **Boyer, Jim** 23:20  
The solution, right? And go to the Dataverse table and go, OK, hey, based on what we have available to us today, we can cover these main accounts, right? And then we have and then we have some gaps, right? We'll be able to identify the gaps and what they're doing because I mean at the end of the day, like all I'm doing what form is just writing like basic.  
Queries to like do sub queries to union all this stuff together, right? It's not. I don't think this is like a major like technical to John's point. It's not really like it's going to take them a lot of time, but it's like they don't know the solution like you guys do. So they look at the dataverse and they go.  
I don't understand why this isn't row based and I'm like because it's just not the way Dataverse works, but the solution like you know what I mean? So they want everything to look like in tabular format and I'm like it's not going to be so get over it. So they I hear comments like well I don't understand why they didn't consult with us on the way they should build it. I go well because you're not a power platform developer.  
So you don't understand. So it's just I want to keep them involved, but at the same time, we shouldn't phase them out, but I would rather use the time between now and then to just have our ducks in a row so we know where we're sourcing data from.  
And then if we have gaps, right, we can all collectively talk about the gaps.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 24:39  
Yeah, yeah. So I I mean, I think I agree that, you know, the the best path is for them to use the time between now and when those tables are are finished in planning for the ETL, yeah.

 **Boyer, Jim** 24:53  
Yes.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 24:54  
Yeah, that makes sense. OK.

 **Boyer, Jim** 24:54  
Yeah. So yeah, because then then it's just like, I mean half the quit queries will already be written because we'll be playing around and manipulating the data the way we want it, finding the optimal way to do it so it's efficient. So and and one thing they did for Mike and Amy's benefit is.  
What they did with the Dataverse is they I don't know exactly the technical term that they did, but the tables are like a live look at the Dataverse tables. So there's there's not really like a there's not really like an ETL job per se where we like wake up on schedule and go pull the data.  
The minute you query it in the EDW, it live pulls it from the Dataverse table.  
So there's like 0 latency in the EDW between Dataverse and EDW or near 0.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 25:40  
That's cool.

 **Boyer, Jim** 25:41  
I don't know how they did it or what they did, but it's like, all right, I'll take your word for it, you know, but that. So that's cool because that was one of my concerns too, is that like, you know, it might be live in the system, but you know, there'll always be some sort of latency with the data downstream if somebody's looking at it and they were like, no, it'll it'll pull it live. I was like, OK.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 25:46  
Mhm.  
Mhm.

 **Boyer, Jim** 26:00  
So at least that's untold.  
So.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 26:06  
All right. Well, I'll make sure and list that in our in our log for Tuesday and I and I'm hoping to have time in that call to do just a quick demo. Again our our UI is going through a lot of changes here towards the end, so I'll.  
I'll hopefully be able to highlight that. Is there anything else, um uh, Mike or Amy, that that you guys would like me to include in that in that meeting?

 **Sowells, Amy** 26:34  
Um, no, I don't think so.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 26:37  
OK.

 **Sowells, Amy** 26:37  
I think the typical structure works. I know I was gonna ask you, Jonathan, like when should we prepare to do the next round of UAT? Cause I'm assuming it's gonna be very heavy and time consuming.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 26:39  
Yeah, OK.  
Yeah, you know, that's a great point. Um.  
And honestly, the.  
Um.  
Really, it's gonna be at the end of Sprint 31, so in another 3 1/2 weeks or so will be kind of where we're.  
Um.  
Where I would recommend because things are just gonna be in so much flux between now and then. So we're in Sprint 30, we have Sprint 31 and this is these two sprints are we're finishing up all those user facing features. So the the two weeks that this Sprint represents are all non.  
Functional stuff. And this is where we're gonna start on that calculated forecast tables, those kind of things we've been talking about. And then there's another couple of weeks before really the pilot starts. So really.  
Um, August is gonna be UAT, yeah.

 **Sowells, Amy** 28:01  
UAT OK and um, what? So I thought Jeremy had told me the pilot could still start in August, but has that changed?

 **Jonathan Aulson** 28:12  
So the dates I got from Jeremy, we may need to connect and make sure I'm working from the same notes. Let me find my.

 **Sowells, Amy** 28:20  
Touch base with him.

 **Boyer, Jim** 28:24  
Mm.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 28:27  
Let's see.  
Oh, that's not it. Yeah. So I have September 2nd as the pilot beginning. There's a there's a look forward call on the 5th.  
Um.  
Field leader in. So these are the dates that I got from Jeremy, but.

 **Sowells, Amy** 28:53  
Oh, you did. OK, all right. Let me take a quick screenshot of this 'cause I thought he.  
OK, got it. I just wanna make sure we're all on the same page.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 29:00  
OK. Yeah, I mean, I agree. Yeah, that's.

 **Foy, Michael** 29:05  
I think when we met with him, he we were all aligned. I think it was like 2 weeks ago, maybe more at this point.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 29:13  
Yeah.  
Yeah.

 **Foy, Michael** 29:15  
But I think Hugh is originally concerned until you guys kind of compared notes and compared the timeline, right? And then I think we were all on the same page.

 **Sowells, Amy** 29:26  
Yeah, I think you're right too. I just wanna make sure. Um, OK, I'll send him this.

 **Foy, Michael** 29:30  
And then Jonathan, to your other question about anything else for the steering committee, I think, so Brian's out next week, but I think Mike is around, Mike Morgioni is around and he'll as you've come to realize, we'll we'll hone right in on any of the risks or roadblocks.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 29:30  
Thank you.  
Yeah.  
Yeah.

 **Foy, Michael** 29:50  
So I think, yeah, communication around that piece for our IT team and competing resources. Feel free to kind of send that over to Amy and I if if you want some feedback, because I'm sure he'll dig right into that just for more clarity and how to kind of translate it into.  
Layman's terms for him.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 30:10  
Got it. I will get that over to you guys by tomorrow then and and look forward to your feedback. Thank you.

 **Foy, Michael** 30:13  
Yeah.  
Yeah.

 **Sowells, Amy** 30:18  
Is there anything else from an IT side, Jonathan, that you're waiting on or that we need to escalate? I'm pretty sure you you would tell me if there was, but just just let us know if there's anything else.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 30:30  
I will, yeah. We, you know, we we had scheduled a meeting with Guy to check in on sort of monitoring alerting as well as the data gateway and he he asked me to push it.  
Uh, so I push it to next week. That's the item and we have our um, actually, you know what? I think the idea.

 **Boyer, Jim** 30:52  
You're not gonna so, so John, like they're having some issues I think with like the group with getting some things done that's been slowing Juan up from like deploying the two new data marks and the 4th node. I I would get in front of that a little bit because you know again if Juan's going out to Miami.  
Next week, I doubt you're gonna have an update on that next week to be able to install gateways on there. So if that's a risk for you, I would get in front of it right now.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 31:20  
Yeah, OK. So where does that need to go? I've, you know, I can ping Guy on it, but I'm not sure who to escalate to. Is it just Amy and Mike? Is it or is it you 2?

 **Boyer, Jim** 31:34  
I I would go to Guy 1st and see what his plan is. He might not even know Juan's in Florida next week, right? So it's like that. That's The thing is Juan's doing like the principle work on the stuff. Guy can install the the the gateways. That's not necessarily a problem, but it's like a are the machines ready and B do they connect to anything?

 **Jonathan Aulson** 31:40  
Mhm.  
Yeah.

 **Boyer, Jim** 31:51  
Right, that's useful, so.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 31:54  
Yeah, I will check in with Guy today and I'll loop you guys in on that thread.

 **Boyer, Jim** 32:01  
Oh, and and John, how come you're not doing a Vnet for this like you did for Power Bill?

 **Jonathan Aulson** 32:08  
We do. We have a Vnet integrated API. Is that what you're talking about?

 **Boyer, Jim** 32:14  
Yeah, 'cause like, I mean, we obviously could do a traditional gateway install, but I thought you guys wanted to do Vnet for Power Bill and I was curious why it wasn't the same for forecasting.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 32:22  
Oh, for Power Bill it relies on Power Automate has to go through the data gateway. We we're we're using the API for forecasting, but Power Automate is I I unless you know unless you guys.

 **Boyer, Jim** 32:27  
Yeah.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 32:37  
Are OK with us pulling that out of Power Automate that logic. I think it's gonna have to go through a gateway, yeah.

 **Boyer, Jim** 32:42  
I mean, I'm like, I I do whatever you think is best. I just was asking why I was different. That's all. But it's fine, I mean.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 32:47  
But yet, keep me honest here, Cesar, it might if I'm if I'm wandering, but is it? I think Power Automate has to use the gateway, right?

 **Cesar Figueroa** 32:55  
Yes.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 32:57  
OK. Yeah. So that's it, Jim. It's just power automate, yeah.

 **Boyer, Jim** 32:59  
OK, OK, that's fine.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 33:03  
Um.  
All right, very good. So, OK, just to recap, I'm going to send over this mapping spreadsheet to you guys. I'm going to gather the risk log, send that over.  
I'll check in with Guy today for for an update on the Data Gateway and other items. I think that covers it.  
Any anything else for this call? Did I miss anything?

 **Cesar Figueroa** 33:39  
Nope, but I I want to say some comments because I saw the Jim e-mail yesterday. I have the same issue with the SQL Server instance for.

 **Sowells, Amy** 33:40  
Hello.

 **Cesar Figueroa** 33:54  
Or dataverse. I don't know why in the left panel it's not showing the tables, but.

 **Boyer, Jim** 33:58  
Yeah, I don't. Yeah, I can. You can query them. It just doesn't show weird.

 **Cesar Figueroa** 34:00  
Yes, yes. I was trying to find if there is like any difference between the tables, but I cannot see nothing. So just related with that. Unfortunately we will not be able to see in the left file, but we we are able to fetch them.

 **Boyer, Jim** 34:15  
Yeah.

 **Cesar Figueroa** 34:16  
And related with the second comment, we are adding tables and I don't know if this exactly for the same purpose that you ask that you are asking like for other expenses, but we added billable expenses table to fetch and to replicate.  
Budget expenses like claims, vehicle insurance. So saying that what I'm trying to say is probably the original list that we sent about forecast table is changing so.  
I will fetch the new tables and I will send a new list for you if that makes sense.

 **Boyer, Jim** 34:59  
Yeah, that's fine.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 35:02  
Thank you, Cesar.  
Let's make sure to include Juan and Abby on that as well. Just let them know. Cool.

 **Cesar Figueroa** 35:09  
Sure.

 **Boyer, Jim** 35:11  
Yeah.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 35:12  
Uh, very good. All right. Um.  
All right guys, any any other items?

 **Boyer, Jim** 35:22  
There, Sir.

 **Sowells, Amy** 35:23  
No, I think we're good.

 **Foy, Michael** 35:25  
No.

 **Jonathan Aulson** 35:25  
Fantastic. Well, I'll get this mapping document over. I'll prep the risk log and send that and I'll I'll shoot Guy a note and copy you guys on it. Sounds like that's it. Thanks very much everyone.

 **Sowells, Amy** 35:37  
Thanks guys.

 **Foy, Michael** 35:38  
Thank you. Yep.

 **Boyer, Jim** 35:39  
Thanks, bye.

 **Jonathan Aulson** stopped transcription